



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/499,031	02/07/2000	Kyung-Geun Lee	1293.1090/MDS	9630	
21171	7590 05/07/2003				
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			EXAMINER		
700 11TH ST SUITE 500	,		HINDI, NABIL Z		
WASHINGTON, DC 20001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2655	23	
			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003	DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/499,031

Applicant(s)

LEE

Examiner

Nabil Hindi

Art Unit 2655

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply	on the cover sheet with the correspondence againsts			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In				
mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	he statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. he application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jan 2, 20</u>	<u> </u>			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This act	ion is non-final.			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4) 🗓 · Claim(s) <u>1, 7, 9, 11-14, 19, 20, 25, 33, 35, 37, 36</u>	8, 45, 47, and 55 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 🔀 Claim(s) <u>1, 7, 9, 11-14, 19, 20, 25, 33, 35, 37, 38</u>	8, 45, 47, and 55 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d				
_	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign per	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	•			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No			
application from the International Bure				
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/499031 Page 2

Art Unit: 2651

In response to applicant's amendment dated January 02, 2003. The following action is taken: Applicant's amendment did not overcome the examiner's rejection with respect to the reference Horikiri. The claims are rejected for the same reasons (with respect to the Horikiri reference) set forth in the previous office action mailed Dec. 26, 2001 repeated herein for applicant's convenience.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 7, 9, 11-14, 19-20, 25, 33, 35, 37, 38, 45, 47 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horikiri (5537373).

Applicant's attention is drawn to fig 1A corresponding to fig 5 of the claimed invention. The references discloses the use of an optical disk recording and reproducing apparatus comprising a disk having wobbled land and grooves therein, each of the land 2 or groove 1 is out of phase with the land/groove, and each of the groove/land is in phase with the land/groove (as illustrated in fig 1A of Horikiri), a wobbling signal detector (photo detection means elements 9-10 of Horikiri, a wobbling signal determining means to determine either the light is tracking a land track or a groove track fig 1B, and tracking controller to track either track.

Application/Control Number: 09/499031 Page 3

Art Unit: 2651

With respect to any of the dependent claims drawn to the land/groove phase different or being the same. Such limitation is present in each of the references since either one shows the use of an out of phase first area (land or groove) and in-phase second area (land or groove).

With respect to the dependent claims drawn to the address information (header) positioned at a boundary line between the land and groove tracks. Such limitation is well established in the art as acknowledged by applicant's own prior art.

With respect to the limitations of the dependent claims drawn to the signal processing to determine whether the light beam is tracking a land or groove track. The limitation is present in figs 1B and 2B of Horikiri.

Applicant's arguments filed January 02, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments with respect to the reference Maeda et al is moot since the amendment overcome the rejection. However, the reference Horikiri does disclose the claimed invention as shown in fig 1A which corresponding to fig 5 of the claimed invention. As shown in fig 1A, the reference does disclose the use of a wobbled groove and land tracks 1 and 2 in the radial direction, the wobble of the first groove 1 is in phase, the wobble of the adjacent land track 2 is out of phase. The wobble of the next (second groove 2) is in phase although it is different phase from the first groove track. The wobble of the next (second) land track is out of phase and it is different from the previous land track meeting the claimed disk land/groove structure. In response to applicant's argument regrading the 180 degree phase shift. The

Application/Control Number: 09/499031 Page 4

Art Unit: 2651

specification does not disclose an criticality with respect to the phase shift degree and merely cite the phase shift to be a non-positive limitation "maybe" 180 degrees. The reference illustrates an out of phase land track 2 which appears to be a 180 degrees compared to the out of phase first type track m-1 of fig 5 of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to NABIL.HINDI at telephone number 308.1555

PRIMARY EXAMINER